

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,174	03/29/2001	Keiichi Furukawa	15162/03420	4027	
24367 7590 09/03/2002 SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 717 NO 1400			EXAMINER		
			. HARVEY, JAMES R		
SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX	75201	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			2833		
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. Office Action Survey		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/821,174	FURUKAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James R. Harvey	2833				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) $1-82$ is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
11)□⊤	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	ider 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-28, drawn to a method of producing a display panel with relative movement after initial contact, classified in class 445, subclass 23.
  - II. Claims 29-43, drawn to a method of producing a display panel with two stage pressure, classified in class 445, subclass 42.
  - III. Claims 44-52, drawn to an apparatus for producing a display panel, classified in class 445, subclass 66.
  - IV. Claim 53-71, drawn to a method of adhering an adhesive having through holes progressively, classified in 156/295.
- V. Claims 72-82, drawn to a method of adhering an adhesive having grooves and bonding to a first plate, classified in 156/138.
- The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II (two stage pressure) has separate utility such as in smaller facilities were the manufacturing line is not long enough for the progressive method of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174

Art Unit: 2833

- Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to assemble a multi-plate basin or laminated glass windows.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to assemble a multi-plate basin or laminated glass windows.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for
   Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Inventions IV and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to assemble a multi-plate basin or laminated glass windows.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for
 Group IV is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is
 proper.

- Inventions V and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to assemble multi-plate basins or laminated glass windows.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group V is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as in smaller facilities were the manufacturing line is not long enough for the progressive method of invention IV. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174

Art Unit: 2833

- Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the liquid crystal cell is not required in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a low voltage liquid crystal cell light source.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Inventions I and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the liquid crystal cell is not required in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a low voltage liquid crystal cell light source.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

Art Unit: 2833

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the liquid crystal cell is not required in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a low voltage liquid crystal cell light source.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for
   Group II is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is
   proper.
- Inventions II and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the liquid crystal cell is not required in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a low voltage liquid crystal cell light source.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I (figures 2, 8-9, and 14A), Species II (figures 3, 10, and 14B), Species III (figures 4, 11, and 14C), Species IV (figures 5, 12-13, and 14D), Species V (figures

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174

Art Unit: 2833

15-17), Species VI (figures 18-20), Species VII (figures 21-24), Species VIII (figures 25-29), Species IX (figures 30-35), Species X (figure 40), and Species XI (figure 41).

- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.
- Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- A telephone call was made to James Williams on 8-28-02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/821,174

Art Unit: 2833

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Harvey whose telephone number is 703-305-0958. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. To 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 703-308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0952.

James R. Harvey

August 28, 2002

Gary Paumen Primary Examiner